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#### Abstract

In this paper, we show that the expansion of linear problems of the Painlevé equation in powers of the spectral variable can be used to derive hierarchies of ordinary differential equations. We applied this approach to linear problems of the first, second, third and fourth Painlevé equations. We derived a new hierarchy of the third Painlevé equation and rederived known hierarchies of the other equations. Moreover some special solutions of the hierarchies of the second, third and fourth Painlevé equations are also given.


PACS numbers: $02.30 . \mathrm{Ik}, 02.30 . \mathrm{Hq}$

## 1. Introduction

In the last three decades there has been much interest in searching for higher order analogues of Painlevé equations. There are several methods for deriving higher order analogues of Painlevé equations. Some of these methods are the $\alpha$-method used by Painlevé and his school, the Painlevé test and the similarity reductions of higher order completely integrable partial differential equations. The last method leads to the derivation of Painlevé hierarchies, that is, sequences of ordinary differential equations whose first members are Painlevé equations.

One of the important properties of the six Painlevé equations (PI-PVI) is that each Painlevé equation can be written as a compatibility condition of a linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda}(x, \lambda)=A(x, \lambda) \Phi(x, \lambda), \quad \Phi_{x}(x, \lambda)=B(x, \lambda) \Phi(x, \lambda) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x, \lambda)=\sum_{j=0}^{N+n} A_{j} \lambda^{N-j}, \quad B(x, \lambda)=\sum_{j=0}^{L+l} B_{j} \lambda^{L-j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $A_{j}$ and $B_{j}$ are matrices with entries depending on the solution $u(x)$ of the Painlevé equation [1-5]. These linear problems are not unique. For example, the second Painlevé equation has two different linear problems, one given by Flaschka and Newell [2] and the other one given by Jimbo and Miwa [1].

Gordoa, Joshi and Pickering [6] derived Jimbo-Miwa linear problems for second and fourth Painlevé hierarchies. This leads to the observation that these hierarchies can be obtained by expanding the Jimbo-Miwa linear problems of PII and PIV in powers of the spectral variable $\lambda$. In this paper, we will show that this approach can be applied to many linear problems of Painlevé equations. More precisely, given a linear problem (1-2) for a Painlevé equation, we generalize it by replacing the fixed number $N$ in (2) by a parameter $M \geqslant N$. While the compatibility condition gives the considered Painlevé equation when $M=N$, it gives higher order analogues of this equation when $M>N$. We illustrate this by the application to the linear problem for the first Painlevé equation given by Jimbo and Miwa [1,3], the linear problem for the second Painlevé equation given by Flaschka and Newell [2], the linear problem for the third Painlevé equation given by Joshi, Kitaev and Treharne [7], and the linear problem for the fourth Painlevé equation given by Kitaev [4] and Milne, Clarkson, Bassom [5]. It turns out that the resulting hierarchies are the first and the second Painlevé hierarchies given in [8, 9], the fourth Painlevé hierarchy given in [10], and a new third Painlevé hierarchy.

We will also give some special solutions of the second, third and fourth Painlevé hierarchies. The special solutions of the second Painlevé hierarchy are solved in terms of the first Painlevé hierarchy and the special solutions of the fourth Painlevé hierarchy are solved in terms of the second Painlevé hierarchy. Using the relation between the fourth and the second Painlevé hierarchies, we will obtain a new linear problem for the second Painlevé hierarchy and in particular a new linear problem for the second Painlevé equation.

## 2. First Paninlevé hierarchy

As it is well known, the first Painlevé equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x}=6 u^{2}+x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be obtained as the compatibility condition of the linear system (1), where $A$ and $B$ are the following matrices $[1,3]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& B=B_{0} \lambda+B_{2} \lambda^{-1}, \quad A=\sum_{j=0}^{5} A_{j} \lambda^{4-j}, \\
& B_{0}=-\mathrm{i} \sigma_{3}, \quad B_{2}=\mathrm{i} u\left(\sigma_{3}-\mathrm{i} \sigma_{2}\right), \\
& A_{0}=-4 \mathrm{i} \sigma_{3}, \quad A_{1}=0,  \tag{4}\\
& A_{2}=4 u \sigma_{2}, \quad A_{3}=2 u_{x} \sigma_{1}, \\
& A_{4}=-\mathrm{i}\left(2 u^{2}+x\right)\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{2}\right), \quad A_{5}=-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

and $\sigma_{j}, j=1,2,3$, denote the Pauli matrices

$$
\sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{5}\\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\mathrm{i} \\
\mathrm{i} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

As we mentioned in the introduction, we will use a generalization of the linear problem $(1,4)$ to derive a hierarchy of ordinary differential equations. More precisely, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=B_{0} \lambda+B_{2} \lambda^{-1}, \quad A=\sum_{j=0}^{2 m+3} A_{j} \lambda^{2 m+2-j} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is a positive integer. The compatibility condition $\Phi_{x \lambda}=\Phi_{\lambda x}$ of equation (1) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x}=B_{\lambda}+[B, A] . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $A$ and $B$ from (6) into (7), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\left[B_{0}, A_{0}\right], \quad A_{0, x}=\left[B_{0}, A_{1}\right], \\
& A_{j, x}=\left[B_{0}, A_{j+1}\right]+\left[B_{2}, A_{j-1}\right], \quad j=1,2, \ldots, 2 m+1, \\
& A_{2 m+2, x}=B_{0}+\left[B_{0}, A_{2 m+3}\right]+\left[B_{2}, A_{2 m+1}\right],  \tag{8}\\
& A_{2 m+3, x}=\left[B_{2}, A_{2 m+2}\right], \quad B_{2}=\left[B_{2}, A_{2 m+3}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account the linear problem (1) and (4), we assume that
$B_{0}=\sigma_{3}, \quad B_{2}=u\left(\sigma_{3}+\mathrm{i} \sigma_{2}\right), \quad A_{0}=4 \sigma_{3}, \quad A_{1}=0, \quad A_{2 m+3}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}$,
$A_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{j} & b_{j} \\ (-1)^{j+1} b_{j} & -a_{j}\end{array}\right), \quad j=2, \ldots, 2 m+2$,
where $a_{2 j-1}=0, j=2, \ldots, m+1$. Then equation (8) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2 j, x}=2 u b_{2 j-1}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m,  \tag{10a}\\
& a_{2 m+2, x}=1+2 u b_{2 m+1},  \tag{10b}\\
& b_{j, x}=2 b_{j+1}+2 u\left(b_{j-1}-a_{j-1}\right), \quad j=0,1, \ldots, 2 m+1,  \tag{10c}\\
& b_{2 m+2}-a_{2 m+2}=0 . \tag{10d}
\end{align*}
$$

For any positive integer $m$, any $a_{0} \neq 0$, and $a_{1}=b_{1}=0,(10 a)-(10 d)$ determines $a_{2 j}, j=$ $1,2, \ldots, m+1$, and $b_{j}, j=2,3, \ldots, 2 m+2$, recursively. Moreover the condition $b_{2 m+2}-a_{2 m+2}=0$ gives an ordinary differential equation of the order $2 m$ for $u$.

Let us be more specific. Define $U_{j}=b_{2 j}-a_{2 j}, j=0,1, \ldots, m$ and $U_{m+1}=$ $b_{2 m+2}-a_{2 m+2}+x$. Then using equation ( $10 a$ ) $-(10 d)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{x} U_{j}=2 b_{2 j+1}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m+1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{x}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} x}$. Using equation (10c) to substitute $b_{2 j+1}$ into (11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{x} U_{j}=D_{x} b_{2 j}-2 u b_{2 j-1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now substitute $b_{2 j}$ from (10c) and $b_{2 j-1}$ from (11) into (12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{x} U_{j}=\frac{1}{4}\left(D_{x}^{3}-8 u D_{x}-4 u_{x}\right) U_{j-1}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m+1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{j}=\frac{1}{4}\left(D_{x}^{2}-8 u+4 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{j-1}-4^{1-j} K_{2 j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m+1, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{2 j}$ are constants of integration and $D_{x}^{-1}$ is the inverse operator of $D_{x}$. Without loss of generality we will take $K_{2}=K_{2 m+2}=0$.

Since $U_{0}=-4$, (14) yields $U_{1}=4 u$. Thus using induction, we can write $U_{j}$ as
$U_{j}=4^{2-j}\left[\mathcal{R}_{I}^{j-1} u+\sum_{i=2}^{j-1} K_{2 i} \mathcal{R}_{I}^{j-i-1} u\right]-4^{1-j} K_{2 j}, \quad j=2, \ldots, m+1$,
where $\mathcal{R}_{I}$ is the recursion operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{I}=D_{x}^{2}-8 u+4 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $a_{2 j}, j=1,2, \ldots, m+1$, and $b_{j}, j=2,3, \ldots, 2 m+2$, can be determined in terms of $U_{j}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{2 j+1}=\frac{1}{2} D_{x} U_{j}, & j=1,2, \ldots, m, \\
b_{2 j}=\frac{1}{4}\left(D_{x}^{2}-4 u\right) U_{j-1}, & j=1, \ldots, m+1, \\
a_{2 j}=\left(u-D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{j-1}+4^{1-j} K_{2 j}, & j=1, \ldots, m  \tag{17}\\
a_{2 m+2}=\left(u-D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{m}+x . &
\end{array}
$$

The condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2 m+2}-a_{2 m+2}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields the equation $U_{m+1}=x$. Substituting $U_{m+1}$ from (15) into $U_{m+1}=x$, we get the following hierarchy of ordinary differential equations of orders $2 m$ for $u$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{I}^{m} u+\sum_{i=2}^{m} K_{2 i} \mathcal{R}_{I}^{m-i} u-4^{m-1} x=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that when $m=1$, (19) gives the first Painlevé equation (3). Thus the hierarchy (19) is a first Painlevé hierarchy. Now we will consider the cases $m=2$ and $m=3$.
Example 1. In this example, we consider the case $m=2$. Equation (19) yields the following fourth-order ordinary differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x x x}=20 u u_{x x}+10 u_{x}^{2}-40 u^{3}-K_{4} u+4 x . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (20) has a linear problem (1) with $B=\sigma_{3} \lambda+u\left(\sigma_{3}+\mathrm{i} \sigma_{2}\right) \lambda^{-1}$ and $A=\sum_{j=0}^{7} A_{j} \lambda^{6-j}$, where $A_{0}=4 \sigma_{3}, A_{1}=0, A_{7}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}$, and $A_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{j} & b_{j} \\ (-1)^{j+1} b_{j} & -a_{j}\end{array}\right), j=2,3,4,5,6, a_{2}=a_{3}=$ $a_{5}=0, a_{2 j}, j=1,2,3$, and $b_{j}, j=2,3,4,5,6$ are given as follows:
$b_{2}=4 u, \quad b_{3}=2 u_{x}, \quad a_{4}=2 u^{2}+\frac{1}{4} K_{4}, \quad b_{4}=u_{x x}-4 u^{2}$,
$b_{5}=\frac{1}{2}\left[u_{x x x}-12 u u_{x}\right], \quad a_{6}=u u_{x x}-\frac{1}{2} u_{x}^{2}-4 u^{3}+x$,
$b_{6}=\frac{1}{4}\left[u_{x x x x}-16 u u_{x x}-12 u_{x}^{2}+24 u^{3}+K_{4} u\right]$.
The equation (20) was found previously by Cosgrove [11] and it is the second member of the first Painlevé hierarchy [8, 9]. However the linear problem given here is new.

Example 2. As another example we consider the case $m=3$. Thus (19) gives the following sixth-order ordinary differential equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{x x x x x x}=28 u u_{x x x x}+56 u_{x} u_{x x x}+42 u_{x x}^{2}-\left(280 u^{2}+K_{4}\right) u_{x x} \\
&-280 u u_{x}^{2}+280 u^{4}+6 K_{4} u^{2}-K_{6} u+16 x . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

The linear problem for (22) has the form (1), with $B=\sigma_{3} \lambda+u\left(\sigma_{3}+\mathrm{i} \sigma_{2}\right) \lambda^{-1}$ and $A=\sum_{j=0}^{9} A_{j} \lambda^{8-j}$, where $A_{0}=4 \sigma_{3}, A_{1}=0, A_{9}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}$, and $A_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{j} \\ (-1)^{j+1} b_{j}\end{array} b_{a_{j}} \begin{array}{l}a_{j}\end{array}\right), j=$ $2,3, \ldots, 8, a_{2}=a_{3}=a_{5}=a_{7}=0, a_{2 j}, j=2,3,4$, and $b_{j}, j=2,3, \ldots, 8$, are given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{2}= & 4 u, \quad b_{3}=2 u_{x}, \quad a_{4}=2 u^{2}+\frac{1}{4} K_{4}, \quad b_{4}=u_{x x}-4 u^{2}, \\
b_{5}= & \frac{1}{2}\left[u_{x x x}-12 u u_{x}\right], \quad a_{6}=u u_{x x}-\frac{1}{2} u_{x}^{2}-4 u^{3}+\frac{1}{16} K_{6}, \\
b_{6}= & \frac{1}{4}\left[u_{x x x x}-16 u u_{x x}-12 u_{x}^{2}+24 u^{3}+K_{4} u\right], \\
b_{7}= & \frac{1}{8}\left[u_{x x x x x}-20 u u_{x x x}-40 u_{x} u_{x x}+\left(120 u^{2}+K_{4}\right) u_{x}\right],  \tag{23}\\
a_{8}= & \frac{1}{8}\left[2 u u_{x x x x}-2 u_{x} u_{x x x}+u_{x x}^{2}-40 u^{2} u_{x x}+60 u^{4}+K_{4} u^{2}+8 x\right], \\
b_{8}= & \frac{1}{16}\left[u_{x x x x x x}-24 u u_{x x x x}-60 u_{x} u_{x x x}-40 u_{x x}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(200 u^{2}+K_{4}\right) u_{x x}+280 u u_{x}^{2}-160 u^{4}-4 K_{4} u^{2}+K_{6} u\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (22) is the third member of the first Painlevé hierarchy [8, 9], but the linear problem is new.

Therefore, we have rederived the first Painlevé hierarchy [8, 9]. It should be noted that in [8, 9], the constants of integrations have been chosen to be zero.

## 3. Second Painlevé hierarchy

It is well known that the second Painlevé equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x}=2 u^{3}+x u+\alpha, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be obtained as the compatibility condition of (1) where $A$ and $B$ are given by [2]

$$
\begin{align*}
& B=B_{0} \lambda+B_{1}, \quad A=\sum_{j=0}^{3} A_{j} \lambda^{2-j}, \\
& B_{0}=-\mathrm{i} \sigma_{3}, \quad B_{1}=u \sigma_{1},  \tag{25}\\
& A_{0}=-4 \mathrm{i} \sigma_{3}, \quad A_{1}=4 u \sigma_{1}, \\
& A_{2}=-\mathrm{i}\left(2 u^{2}+x\right) \sigma_{2}-2 u_{x} \sigma_{2}, \quad A_{3}=-\alpha \sigma_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, we will use the following generalization of the linear problem $(1,25)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=B_{0} \lambda+B_{1}, \quad A=\sum_{j=0}^{2 m+1} A_{j} \lambda^{2 m-j} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is a positive integer and

$$
\begin{array}{llrl}
B_{0} & =\sigma_{3}, & B_{1}=u \sigma_{1}, & A_{0}=-4 \sigma_{3}, \\
A_{j} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{j} & b_{j} \\
(-1)^{j+1} b_{j} & -a_{j}
\end{array}\right), & j=1,2, \ldots, 2 m, \tag{27}
\end{array}
$$

with $a_{2 j-1}=0, j=1, \ldots, m$. The compatibility condition of equation (1) gives
$A_{2 m+1, x}=\left[B_{1}, A_{2 m+1}\right], \quad A_{2 m, x}=B_{0}+\left[B_{0}, A_{2 m+1}\right]+\left[B_{1}, A_{2 m}\right]$,
$A_{j, x}=\left[B_{0}, A_{j+1}\right]+\left[B_{1}, A_{j}\right], \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots, 2 m-1, \quad 0=\left[B_{0}, A_{0}\right]$.
Substituting $A_{j}$ and $B_{j}$ from (27) into equation (28) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2 j, x}=-2 u b_{2 j}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, m-1  \tag{29a}\\
& a_{2 m, x}=1-2 u b_{2 m},  \tag{29b}\\
& b_{j, x}=2 b_{j+1}-2 u a_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, 2 m \tag{29c}
\end{align*}
$$

The equations (29a)-(29c) determine $a_{2 j}, j=1, \ldots, m$, and $b_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, 2 m+1$, recursively. Imposing the condition $b_{2 m+1}=-\alpha$, one obtains an ordinary differential equation of the order $2 m$ for $u$.

More precisely, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{j}=b_{2 j+1}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m-1, \\
& U_{m}=b_{2 m+1}-x u . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the equation $b_{2 m+1}=-\alpha$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{m}+x u+\alpha=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now note that (29a) and (29b) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2 j}=-2 D_{x}^{-1} u b_{2 j}+K_{2 j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m,  \tag{32}\\
& a_{2 m}=-2 D_{x}^{-1} u b_{2 m}+x+K_{2 m},
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{2 j}$ are constants of integration. Substituting into equation (29c), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{2 j+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(D_{x}-4 u D_{x}^{-1} u\right) b_{2 j}+K_{2 j} u, \quad j=1, \ldots, m-1,  \tag{33}\\
& b_{2 m+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(D_{x}-4 u D_{x}^{-1} u\right) b_{2 m}+x u+K_{2 m} u .
\end{align*}
$$

Now substituting $b_{2 j}$ from (29c) into (33), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{2 j+1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(D_{x}^{2}-4 u^{2}+4 u D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) b_{2 j-1}+K_{2 j} u \quad j=1, \ldots, m-1, \\
& b_{2 m+1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(D_{x}^{2}-4 u^{2}+4 u D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) b_{2 m-1}+x u+K_{2 m} u . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{j}=\frac{1}{4}\left(D_{x}-4 u^{2}+4 u D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{j-1}+K_{2 j} u, \quad j=1, \ldots, m \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (29a)-(29c) with $a_{0}=-4, b_{0}=0$, we obtain $U_{0}=b_{1}=-4 u$. Hence using induction we can rewrite (35) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{j}=-4^{1-j}\left(\mathcal{R}_{I I}^{j} u-\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} K_{2 i} \mathcal{R}_{I I}^{j-i} u\right)+K_{2 j} u, \quad j=1, \ldots, m, \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{I I}$ is the recursion operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{I I}=D_{x}^{2}-4 u^{2}+4 u D_{x}^{-1} u_{x} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (36) to calculate $U_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m$, we can determine $a_{2 j}, j=1, \ldots, m$, and $b_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, 2 m$, as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{2 j+1}=U_{j}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, m-1, \\
& b_{2 j}=\frac{1}{2} D_{x} U_{j-1}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m,  \tag{38}\\
& a_{2 j}=-\left(u-D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{j-1}+K_{2 j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m-1 \\
& a_{2 m}=-\left(u-D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{m-1}+x+K_{2 m} .
\end{align*}
$$

Without loss of generality we will take $K_{2 m}=0$.
Lastly, equation (31) yields the following hierarchy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{I I}^{m} u-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} K_{2 i} \mathcal{R}_{I I}^{m-i} u-4^{m-1}(x u+\alpha)=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $m=1$, equation (39) reduces to the second Painlevé equation (24). Therefore the hierarchy (39) is a second Painlevé hierarchy. Now we will consider the cases $m=2$ and $m=3$.

Example 1. In this example, we consider the case $m=2$. Hence, equation (39) yields the following fourth-order ordinary differential equation for $u$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x x x}=10 u^{2} u_{x x}+K_{2} u_{x x}+10 u u_{x}^{2}-6 u^{5}-2 K_{2} u^{3}+4 x u+4 \alpha . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (40) has the linear problem (1) with $B=\sigma_{3} \lambda+u \sigma_{1}$ and $A=\sum_{j=0}^{5} A_{j} \lambda^{4-j}$, where $A_{0}=-4 \sigma_{3}, A_{5}=-\alpha \sigma_{1}$, and $A_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{j} & b_{j} \\ (-1)^{j+1} b_{j} & -a_{j}\end{array}\right), j=1,2,3,4, a_{1}=a_{3}=0, a_{2 j}, j=$ 1,2 , and $b_{j}, j=1,2,3,4$ are given by

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
b_{1}=-4 u, & b_{2}=-2 u_{x},
\end{array} a_{2}=2 u^{2}+K_{2}, \quad b_{3}=-\left[u_{x x}-2 u^{3}-K_{2} u\right], ~ 子 a_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left[2 u u_{x x}-u_{x}^{2}-3 u^{4}-K_{2} u^{2}+2 x\right] . ~ \$
$$

Equation (40) was found before [11, 12] and the special case $K_{2}=0$ with its linear problem was given in [9]. The linear problem for the full equation (40) is not given before.

Example 2. Let us take $m=3$. In this case equation (39) yields the following sixth-order ordinary differential equation for $u$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{x x x x x x}=\left(14 u^{2}+K_{2}\right) u_{x x x x x}+56 u u_{x} u_{x x x}+42 u u_{x x}^{2}+70 u_{x}^{2} u_{x x}-2\left(35 u^{4}+5 K_{2} u^{2}-2 K_{4}\right) u_{x x} \\
-10\left(14 u^{2}+K_{2}\right) u u_{x}^{2}+20 u^{7}+6 K_{2} u^{5}-8 K_{4} u^{3}+16 x u+16 \alpha \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (42) has the linear problem (1) with $B=\sigma_{3} \lambda+u \sigma_{1}$ and $A=\sum_{j=0}^{7} A_{j} \lambda^{6-j}$, where $A_{0}=-4 \sigma_{3}, A_{7}=-\alpha \sigma_{1}$, and $A_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{j} & b_{j} \\ (-1)^{j+1} b_{j} & -a_{j}\end{array}\right), j=1, \ldots, 6, a_{1}=a_{3}=a_{5}=$ $0, a_{2 j}, j=1,2,3$ and $b_{j}, j=1, \ldots, 6$ are given by
$b_{1}=-4 u, \quad b_{2}=-2 u_{x}, \quad a_{2}=2 u^{2}+K_{2}, \quad b_{3}=-\left[u_{x x}-2 u^{3}-K_{2} u\right]$, $b_{4}=-\frac{1}{2}\left[u_{x x x}-6 u^{2} u_{x}-K_{2} u_{x}\right], \quad a_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left[2 u u_{x x}-u_{x}^{2}-3 u^{4}-K_{2} u^{2}+2 K_{4}\right]$, $b_{5}=-\frac{1}{4}\left[u_{x x x x}-10 u^{2} u_{x x}-10 u u_{x}^{2}-K_{2} u_{x x}+6 u^{5}+2 K_{2} u^{3}-4 K_{4} u\right]$ $b_{6}=-\frac{1}{8}\left[u_{x x x x x}-\left(10 u^{2}+K_{2}\right) u_{x x x}-40 u u_{x} u_{x x}-10 u_{x}^{2}+2\left(15 u^{4}+3 K_{2} u^{2}-2 K_{4}\right) u_{x}\right]$ $a_{6}=\frac{1}{8}\left[2 u u_{x x x x}-2 u_{x} u_{x x x}+u_{x x}^{2}-2\left(10 u^{2}+K_{2}\right) u u_{x x}\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\left(10 u^{2}-K_{2}\right) u_{x}^{2}+10 u^{6}+3 K_{2} u^{4}-6 K_{4} u^{2}+8 x\right] \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (42) is the third member of the second Painlevé hierarchy given in [9] but here we do not take the integration constants to be zeros.

### 3.1. Special solutions

In this subsection, we will study special solutions of the second Painlevé hierarchy (39). It is well known that the second Painlevé equation (24) admits a special solution in terms of the Airy function when $\alpha=\frac{-1}{2}$. This fact can be generalized to the other members of the second Painlevé hierarchy (39).

We note that $\mathcal{R}_{I I}=\left(D_{x}-2 u\right)\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right)$. Thus (39) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(D_{x}-2 u\right)\left\{2\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right)\left[\mathcal{R}_{I I}^{m-1} u-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} K_{2 i} \mathcal{R}_{I I}^{m-1-i} u\right]+4^{m-1} x\right\} \\
-4^{m-1}(2 \alpha+1)=0 \tag{44}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, if $2 \alpha+1=0$, then the second Painlevé hierarchy (39) admits special solutions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right)\left[\mathcal{R}_{I I}^{m-1} u-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} K_{2 i} \mathcal{R}_{I I}^{m-1-i} u\right]+4^{m-1} x=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that for any $m \geqslant 2$,(45) is solvable in terms of the first Painlevé hierarchy (19). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right)\left(D_{x}-2 u\right), \quad y=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{x}+u^{2}\right) . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=D_{x}^{2}-8 y+4 D_{x}^{-1} y_{x} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_{I I}=\left(D_{x}-2 u\right)\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{I I}^{j}=\left(D_{x}-2 u\right) \mathcal{R}^{j-1}\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus equation (45) can be written as
$2 \mathcal{R}^{m-1}\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) u-2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} K_{2 i} \mathcal{R}^{m-1-i}\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) u+4^{m-1} x=0$.
But $\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) u=u_{x}+u^{2}=2 y$. Hence equation (49) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}^{m-1} y-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} K_{2 i} \mathcal{R}^{m-1-i} y+4^{m-2} x=0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the first Painlevé hierarchy (19).
Therefore, we have shown that the solution of (45) is given by $u_{x}+u^{2}=2 y$, where $y$ solves the first Painlevé hierarchy (50). This relation between the first and second Painlevé hierarchies was given before [13]. Using this relation, we can rederive the linear problem for the first Painlevé hierarchy (50) given in [9] from the linear problem (1) and (26) of the second Painlevé hierarchy (39). Thus one can derive the first Painlevé hierarchy (19) starting from the linear problem of the first Painlevé equation given by Fokas, Muğan and Zhou [3].

When $m=2$, equation (45) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x x}+2 u u_{x x}-u_{x}^{2}-6 u^{2} u_{x}-3 u^{4}-K_{2}\left(u_{x}+u^{2}\right)+2 x=0 . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, if $2 \alpha+1=0$, then (40) has special solutions satisfying (51). Equation (51) is a special case of the Chazy-XI equation (with $N=3$ ) [14] and its solution is given by $u_{x}+u^{2}=2 y$, where $y$ solves the first Painlevé equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{x x}=6 y^{2}+K_{2} y-x \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $2 \alpha+1=0$, then (42) has special solutions satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{x x x x x}+2 u u_{x x x x x}-2\left(u_{x}+5 u^{2}\right) u_{x x x}+u_{x x}^{2}-20 u\left(2 u_{x}+u^{2}\right) u_{x x}-10 u_{x}^{3}-10 u^{2} u_{x}^{2}+30 u^{4} u_{x} \\
+10 u^{6}-K_{2}\left[u_{x x x}+2 u u_{x x}-u_{x}^{2}-6 u^{2} u_{x}-3 u^{4}\right]-K_{4}\left(u_{x}+u^{2}\right)+8 x=0 . \tag{53}
\end{gather*}
$$

The solution of (53) is given by $u_{x}+u^{2}=2 y$, where $y$ solves the second member of the first Painlevé hierarchy (50)

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{x x x x}-20 y y_{x x}-10 y_{x}^{2}+40 y^{3}-K_{2}\left(y_{x x}-6 y^{2}\right)-K_{4} y+4 x=0 . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Third Painlevé hierarchy

In [7], the third Painlevé equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x}=\frac{u_{x}^{2}}{u}-\frac{1}{x} u_{x}+\frac{1}{x}\left(\alpha u^{2}+\beta\right)+\gamma u^{3}+\frac{\delta}{u}, \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been written as the compatibility condition of the linear system (1) where

$$
\begin{align*}
& B=B_{0} \lambda+B_{1}, \quad A=\sum_{j=0}^{2} A_{j} \lambda^{-j}, \\
& B_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad B_{1}=\frac{1}{x}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\tilde{w}_{3} \\
w_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{0}=\frac{x}{2} \sigma_{3},  \tag{56}\\
& A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\theta_{\infty} / 2 & -\tilde{w}_{3} \\
w_{3} & \theta_{\infty}
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{2}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
w_{2} \tilde{w}_{2} & w_{1} w_{2} \\
\tilde{w}_{1} \tilde{w}_{2} & w_{1} \tilde{w}_{1}
\end{array}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and $u=\frac{\tilde{w_{3}}}{x w_{1} w_{2}}$.

In this section, we will use the linear problem $(1,56)$ to obtain a hierarchy of the ordinary differential equation, namely a third Painlevé hierarchy. We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=B_{0} \lambda+B_{1}, \quad A=\sum_{j=0}^{m+1} A_{j} \lambda^{m-j-1} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is a positive integer. Moreover we set

$$
B_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & p  \tag{58}\\
q & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{j} & b_{j} \\
c_{j} & -a_{j}
\end{array}\right), \quad j=0,1, \ldots, m+1
$$

The compatibility condition of equation (1) gives
$0=\left[B_{0}, A_{0}\right], \quad A_{m+1, x}=\left[B_{1}, A_{m+1}\right], \quad A_{m, x}=\left[B_{0}, A_{m+1}\right]+\left[B_{1}, A_{m}\right]$,
$A_{m-1, x}=B_{0}+\left[B_{0}, A_{n}\right]+\left[B_{1}, A_{n-1}\right]$,
$A_{j, x}=\left[B_{0}, A_{j+1}\right]+\left[B_{1}, A_{j}\right], \quad j=0,1, \ldots, m-2$.
Substituting $A_{j}$ and $B_{j}$ from (58) into equation (59), we obtain $A_{0}=a_{0} \sigma_{3}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{j, x}=p c_{j}-q b_{j}, & j=0,1, \ldots, m-2, m, \\
a_{m-1, x}=\frac{1}{2}+p c_{m-1}-q b_{m-1}, &  \tag{60}\\
b_{j, x}=b_{j+1}-2 p a_{j}, & j=0,1, \ldots, m, \\
c_{j, x}=-c_{j+1}+2 q a_{j}, & j=0,1, \ldots, m,
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+1, x}=p c_{m+1}-q b_{m+1}, \quad b_{m+1, x}=-2 p a_{m+1}, c_{m+1, x}=2 q a_{m+1} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any positive integer $m$, the formulae (60) determine $a_{j}, j=0,1, \ldots, m, b_{j}, j=$ $1,2, \ldots, m+1$, and $c_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$, recursively. Moreover (61) has the following two first integrals:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{m} b_{m+1}+b_{m} c_{m+1}+2 a_{m} a_{m+1}=\gamma_{2}  \tag{62}\\
& b_{m+1} c_{m+1}+a_{m+1}^{2}=\gamma_{3} \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$ are constants of integrations. Using the parameterization $a_{m+1}=v, b_{m+1}=w$, and $p=u w$, we obtain from (63)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{m+1}=\frac{-1}{w}\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right), \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence (62) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{m}=\frac{1}{w}\left[\frac{1}{w}\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right) b_{m}-2 v a_{m}+\gamma_{2}\right] . \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system (61) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{x}=-2 u v w, \quad q=\frac{-1}{w}\left[v_{x}+u\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\right] . \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a last step we impose the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{m+1}=w, \quad c_{m}=\frac{1}{w}\left[\frac{1}{w}\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right) b_{m}-2 v a_{m}+\gamma_{2}\right] \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain an $m$ th order system for $u$ and $v$. Eliminating one of the two dependent variables $u$ and $v$ between the two equations in the system, one obtains a differential equation of the order $2 m$ for the other variable.

Let us consider the case $m=1$ in brief. As we explained above, we set $a_{2}=v, b_{2}=w$, $p=u w$. Then (64) becomes $c_{2}=\frac{-1}{w}\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)$ and the formulae (60) give
$a_{0}=\frac{1}{2} x, \quad a_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{1}, \quad b_{1}=x u w, \quad c_{1}=\frac{-x}{w}\left[v_{x}+u\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\right]$,
$b_{2}=w\left[x u_{x}-2 x u^{2} v+\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right) u\right]$.
Equation (67) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
x u_{x}=2 x u^{2} v-\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right) u+1, \quad x v_{x}=-2 x u\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)+\gamma_{1} v-\gamma_{2} . \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $t u(t)$, where $x=t^{2}$ satisfies the third Painlevé equation (55) with $\alpha=-8 \gamma_{2}, \beta=$ $4\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right), \gamma=16 \gamma_{3}$ and $\delta=-4$.

Now we will give explicit forms for the hierarchy (67) when $m \geqslant 2$. In this case equation (60) gives $a_{0, x}=0$. Without loss of generality we take $a_{0}=\frac{1}{2}$. Introducing the notations

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{j}=\frac{b_{j+1}}{w}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, m-2, \\
& U_{m-1}=\frac{b_{m}}{w}-x u, \\
& U_{m}=\frac{b_{m+1}}{w}-u-x\left(u_{x}-2 u^{2} v\right),  \tag{70}\\
& V_{j}=w c_{j}-\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right) \frac{b_{j}}{w}+2 v a_{j}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, m-2, \\
& V_{m-1}=w c_{m-1}-\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right) \frac{b_{m-1}}{w}+v\left(2 a_{m-1}-x\right), \\
& V_{m}=w c_{m}-\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right) \frac{b_{m}}{w}+2 v a_{m}+x\left[v_{x}+2 u\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

and then using (60), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{U_{j}}{V_{j}}=\mathcal{R}_{I I I}\binom{U_{j-1}}{V_{j-1}}+2 K_{j}\binom{u}{v}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{j}$ are constants of integration and $\mathcal{R}_{I I I}$ is the recursion operator

$$
\mathcal{R}_{I I I}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{x}-2 u v+2 u D_{x}^{-1} v_{x} & -2 u^{2}+2 u D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}  \tag{72}\\
-2\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)+2 v D_{x}^{-1} v_{x} & -D_{x}-2 u v+2 v D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Without loss of generality, we set $K_{m}=\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{1}$, and $K_{m-1}=0$.
Since $U_{0}=u, V_{0}=v,(71)$ implies that $U_{j}, V_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{U_{j}}{V_{j}}=\mathcal{R}_{I I I}^{j}\binom{u}{v}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{j-2} K_{i} \mathcal{R}_{I I I}^{j-i}\binom{u}{v}+2 K_{j}\binom{u}{v}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations (67) and (70) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{m}=-x\left(u_{x}-2 u^{2} v\right)+1-u, \quad V_{m}=x\left[v_{x}+2 u\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\right]+\gamma_{2} . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the hierarchy reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{R}_{I I I}^{m}\binom{u}{v}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} K_{i} \mathcal{R}_{I I I}^{m-i}\binom{u}{v}+x\binom{u_{x}-2 u^{2} v}{-v_{x}-2 u\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)} \\
&+\gamma_{1}\binom{u}{v}=\binom{1-u}{\gamma_{2}}, \quad m \geqslant 2 . \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

The hierarchy (75) has a linear problem given by (1) and (57) where
$B_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & u w \\ \frac{-1}{w}\left[v_{x}+u\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\right] & 0\end{array}\right), \quad A_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}$,
$A_{m+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}v & w \\ \frac{-1}{w}\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right) & -v\end{array}\right), \quad A_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{j} & b_{j} \\ c_{j} & -a_{j}\end{array}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, m$,
and $a_{j}, b_{j}$, and $c_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$ are given by the following formulae:

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{j}=w U_{j-1}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m-1, \\
& b_{m}=w\left(U_{m-1}+x u\right), \\
& c_{j}=\frac{1}{w}\left[V_{j}+\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right) U_{j-1}-2 v a_{j}\right], \quad j=1, \ldots, m-2, \\
& c_{m-1}=\frac{1}{w}\left[V_{m-1}+\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right) U_{m-2}-v\left(2 a_{m-1}-x\right)\right], \\
& c_{m}=\frac{1}{w}\left[\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\left(U_{m-1}+x u\right)-2 v a_{m}+\gamma_{2}\right], \\
& a_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{x}{2}+K_{1}, \quad m=2, \\
a_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-b_{1} c_{1}+\frac{x}{2}+K_{2}, \quad m=3, \\
-b_{1} c_{1}+K_{2}, \\
K_{j}, \\
a_{j}=-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\left(b_{k} c_{j-k}+a_{k} a_{j-k}\right)+K_{j}, \\
a_{m-1}
\end{array}=-\sum_{k=1}^{m-2}\left(b_{k} c_{m-k-1}+a_{k} a_{m-k-1}\right)+\frac{x}{2},\right. \\
a_{m}=-\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\left(b_{k} c_{m-k}+a_{k} a_{m-k}\right)+K_{1} x+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{1} .
\end{array}\right. \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following examples we will consider the cases $m=2$ and $m=3$.
Example 1. As a first example of higher order analogue of the third Painlevé equation let us consider the case $m=2$. In this case, (75) gives the following system for $u$ and $v$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{x x} & =(6 u v-x) u_{x}-6 u^{3} v^{2}+2 x u^{2} v+2 \gamma_{3} u^{3}-\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right) u+1,  \tag{78}\\
v_{x x} & =-(6 u v-x) v_{x}-2 u(3 u v-x)\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)-\gamma_{1} v+\gamma_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating $v$, equation (78) gives a fourth-order equation for $u$.
Equation (78) has the linear problem (1) with $B=B_{0} \lambda+B_{1}$ and $A=\sum_{j=0}^{3} A_{j} \lambda^{2-j}$, where $B_{0}, B_{1}$, and $A_{0}$ are given by (76), $A_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}v & w \\ \frac{-1}{w}\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right) & -v\end{array}\right)$, and $A_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{j} & b_{j} \\ c_{j} & -a_{j}\end{array}\right), j=1,2$, where $a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j}$ are given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=\frac{1}{2} x, \quad b_{1}=u w, \quad c_{1}=\frac{-1}{w}\left[v_{x}+u\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\right], \\
& a_{2}=u\left[v_{x}+u\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{1}, \quad b_{2}=w\left[u_{x}-2 u^{2} v+x u\right],  \tag{79}\\
& c_{2}=\frac{1}{w}\left[\left(u_{x}-4 u^{2} v+x u\right)\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)-2 u v v_{x}-\gamma_{1} v+\gamma_{2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we have derived a new fourth-order equation together with its linear problem.

Example 2. As another example, we will consider the case $m=3$. In this case the equation (75) yields the following system for $u$ and $v$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{x x x}=2\left(4 u v-K_{1}\right) u_{x x}+6 v u_{x}^{2}+\left(4 u v_{x}-30 u^{2} v^{2}+6 \gamma_{3} u^{2}+12 K_{1} u v-x\right) u_{x}+2 u^{2} v_{x x} \\
\\
+20 u^{4} v^{3}-12 K_{1} u^{3} v^{2}-12 \gamma_{3} u^{4} v+4 K_{1} \gamma_{3} u^{3}+2 x u^{2} v-\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right) u+1,  \tag{80}\\
v_{x x x}=-2\left(4 u v-K_{1}\right) v_{x x}-6 u v_{x}^{2}+\left(4 v u_{x}+30 u^{2} v^{2}-6 \gamma_{3} u^{2}-8 K_{1} u v+x\right) v_{x} \\
\\
+2\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\left(u_{x x}+10 u^{3} v^{2}-6 K_{1} u^{2} v-2 \gamma_{3} u^{3}+x u\right)+\gamma_{1} v-\gamma_{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Eliminating $v$, equation (80) gives a sixth-order equation for $u$.

The linear problem for (80) is given by (1) with $B=B_{0} \lambda+B_{1}$ and $A=\sum_{j=0}^{4} A_{j} \lambda^{2-j}$, where $B_{0}, B_{1}$, and $A_{0}$ are given by (76), $A_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}v & w \\ \frac{-1}{w}\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right) & -v\end{array}\right)$,

$$
A_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{j} & b_{j} \\
c_{j} & -a_{j}
\end{array}\right), j=1,2,3 \text {, and } a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j} \text { are given as follows: }
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=K_{1}, \quad b_{1}=u w, \quad c_{1}=\frac{-1}{w}\left[v_{x}+u\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\right], \\
& a_{2}=u\left[v_{x}+u\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2} x, \quad b_{2}=w\left[u_{x}-2 u^{2} v+2 K_{1} u\right], \\
& c_{2}=\frac{1}{w}\left[v_{x x}+2\left(2 u v-K_{1}\right) v_{x}+\left(u_{x}+2 u^{2} v-2 K_{1} u\right)\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\right], \\
& a_{3}=-\left[u v_{x x}-\left(u_{x}-6 u^{2} v+2 K_{1} u\right) v_{x}+2 u^{2}\left(2 u v-K_{1}\right)\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{1}, \\
& b_{3}= w\left[u_{x x}-2\left(3 u v-K_{1}\right) u_{x}+6 u^{3} v^{2}-4 K_{1} u^{2} v-2 \gamma_{3} u^{3}+x u\right], \\
& c_{3}= \frac{1}{w}\left[2 u v v_{x x}-2 v\left(u_{x}-6 u^{2} v+2 K_{1} u\right) v_{x}-\gamma_{1} v+\gamma_{2}\right. \\
&\left.\left.\quad+\left\{u_{x x}-2\left(3 u v-K_{1}\right) u_{x}+14 u^{3} v^{2}-8 K_{1} u^{2} v-2 \gamma_{3} u^{3}+x u\right)\right\}\left(v^{2}-\gamma_{3}\right)\right] . \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

The above two examples show that we can derive a new hierarchy of differential equations (75). Since the first member of this hierarchy is the third Painleve equation, this hierarchy is a third Painlevé hierarchy.

### 4.1. Special solutions

Let us study some special solutions of the third Painlevé hierarchy (75). Assume $\gamma_{1} \neq 0$, $v=\frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}}$ and $\gamma_{2}^{2}=\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{2}$. Then (71) gives $V_{j}=2 K_{j} \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{j}=\left(D_{x}-2 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} u\right)^{j} u+2 \sum_{i=1}^{j-2} K_{i}\left(D_{x}-2 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} u\right)^{j-i} u+2 K_{j} u . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hierarchy (75) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{x}-2 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} u\right)^{m} u+2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} K_{i}\left(D_{x}-2 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} u\right)^{m-i} u+\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right) u+x\left(u_{x}-2 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} u\right)=1 . \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore if $\gamma_{1} \neq 0, v=\frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}}$ and $\gamma_{2}^{2}=\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{2}$, then the third Painlevé hierarchy (75) admits special solutions given by (83).

If $\gamma_{2}=0$, then equation (83) is linear. If $\gamma_{2} \neq 0$, then the transformation $u=-\frac{\gamma_{1} y_{x}}{2 \gamma_{2} y}$ transforms equation (83) into the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{x}^{m+1} y+2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} K_{i} D_{x}^{m-i+1} y+x y_{x x}+\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right) y_{x}+2 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} y=0 \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us give the explicit form of (83) when $m=2,3$; that is, the special solutions of (78) and (80).

Equation (78) has a special solution $\gamma_{2}^{2}=\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{2}, v=\frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}}$ and $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x}=6 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} u u_{x}-4 \frac{\gamma_{2}^{2}}{\gamma_{1}^{2}} u^{3}-x\left(u_{x}-2 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} u^{2}\right)-\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right) u+1 . \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\gamma_{2} \neq 0$, then equation (85) is equivalent to equation PVI in the complete list of second-order Painlevé equations (see [15] page 334). The transformation $u=-\frac{\gamma_{1} y_{x}}{2 \gamma_{2} y}$ transforms (85) into the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{x x x}=-x y_{x x}-\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right) y_{x}-2 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} y . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (80) has a special solution $\gamma_{2}^{2}=\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{2}$, $v=\frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}}$ and $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{x x x}=2 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}}\left(4 u u_{x x}+3 u_{x}^{2}\right)-24 \frac{\gamma_{2}^{2}}{\gamma_{1}^{2}} u^{2} u_{x}+8 \frac{\gamma_{2}^{3}}{\gamma_{1}^{3}} u^{4}-2 K_{1}\left(u_{x x}-6 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} u u_{x}+4 \frac{\gamma_{2}^{2}}{\gamma_{1}^{2}} u^{3}\right) \\
 \tag{87}\\
-x\left(u_{x}-2 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} u^{2}\right)-\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right) u+1 .
\end{gather*}
$$

If $\gamma_{2} \neq 0$, then the transformation $u=-\frac{\gamma_{1} y_{x}}{2 \gamma_{2} y}$ transforms (87) into the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{x x x x}=-2 K_{1} y_{x x x}-x y_{x x}-\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right) y_{x}-2 \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} y . \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Fourth Painlevé hierarchy

The fourth Painlevé equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x}=\frac{u_{x}^{2}}{2 u}+\frac{3}{2} u^{3}+4 x u^{2}+2\left(x^{2}-\alpha\right) u+\frac{\beta}{u}, \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be obtained as the compatibility condition of the linear system (1) with the following matrices $A$ and $B[4,5]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& B=B_{0} \lambda^{2}+B_{1} \lambda+B_{2}, \quad A=\sum_{j=0}^{4} A_{j} \lambda^{3-j}, \\
& B_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{i} w \\
\mathrm{i} v & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & 0 \\
0 & -u
\end{array}\right), \\
& A_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad A_{1}=B_{1}, \quad A_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x+u & 0 \\
0 & -x-u
\end{array}\right),  \tag{90}\\
& A_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{i}\left(w_{x}+2 x w\right) \\
\mathrm{i}\left(2 x v-v_{x}\right) & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{4}=\gamma_{0} \sigma_{3}, \quad u=v w .
\end{align*}
$$

Following the same method as in the previous sections, we take $A$ and $B$ in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum_{j=0}^{2 m+2} A_{j} \lambda^{2 m+1-j}, \quad B=B_{0} \lambda^{2}+B_{1} \lambda+B_{2} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is a positive integer. Furthermore, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & p \\
q & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-p q & 0 \\
0 & p q
\end{array}\right), \\
& A_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad A_{2 j}=a_{2 j} \sigma_{3}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m,  \tag{92}\\
& A_{2 j+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & b_{j} \\
c_{j} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad j=0,1, \ldots, m, \quad A_{2 m+2}=\gamma_{0} \sigma_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

The compatibility condition of equation (1) gives
$A_{2 m+2, x}=\left[B_{2}, A_{2 m+2}\right], \quad A_{2 m+1, x}=B_{1}+\left[B_{1}, A_{2 m+2}\right]+\left[B_{2}, A_{2 m+1}\right]$,
$A_{2 m, x}=2 B_{0}+\left[B_{0}, A_{2 m+2}\right]+\left[B_{1}, A_{2 m+1}\right]+\left[B_{2}, A_{2 m}\right]$,
$A_{j, x}=\left[B_{0}, A_{j+2}\right]+\left[B_{1}, A_{j+1}\right]+\left[B_{2}, A_{j}\right], \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots, 2 m-1$,
$0=\left[B_{0}, A_{1}\right]+\left[B_{1}, A_{0}\right], \quad 0=\left[B_{0}, A_{0}\right]$.
Substituting $A_{j}$ and $B_{j}$ from (92) into (93), we find that $A_{1}=B_{1}$ and $A_{j}, j=2,3, \ldots, 2 m+1$, can be determined by the following formulae:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2 j, x}=p c_{2 j+1}-q b_{2 j+1}, & j=1,2, \ldots, m-1, \\
b_{2 j-1, x}=b_{2 j+1}-2 p a_{2 j}-2 p q b_{2 j-1}, & j=1,2, \ldots, m, \\
c_{2 j-1, x}=-c_{2 j+1}+2 q a_{2 j}+2 p q c_{2 j-1}, & j=1,2, \ldots, m, \\
a_{2 m, x}=1+p c_{2 m+1}-q b_{2 m+1}, & \\
c_{2 m+1, x}-q\left(2 p c_{2 m+1}+2 \gamma_{0}+1\right)=0, & \tag{95}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2 m+1, x}+p\left(2 q b_{2 m+1}+2 \gamma_{0}-1\right)=0 . \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system (95)-(96) has the following first integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} b_{2 j-1} c_{2 m+3-2 j}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{2 j} a_{2 m-2 j+2}=2 x\left(a_{2}+p q\right)+\gamma_{1} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}$ is a constant of integration.
In order to derive a hierarchy of the ordinary differential equation, we proceed as follows. Define $u=-p q, v=\frac{p_{x}}{p}$, and introduce the notation $U_{j}, V_{j}, j=0,1, \ldots, m$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{j}=a_{2 j+2}-K_{2 j+2}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, m-2, \\
& U_{m-1}=a_{2 m}-x, \\
& U_{m}=2 x\left(a_{2}-2 u\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} b_{2 j-1} c_{2 m+3-2 j}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{2 j} a_{2 m-2 j+2}, \\
& V_{j}=\frac{1}{p} b_{2 j+3}-2 K_{2 j+2}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, m-2,  \tag{98}\\
& V_{m-1}=\frac{1}{p} b_{2 m+1}-2 x, \\
& V_{m}=\frac{1}{p} b_{2 m+1, x}+2 q b_{2 m+1}+2 U_{m}+2 x(2 u-v)-2,
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{j}$ are constants. Then equations (96) and (97) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{m}+2 x u+\gamma_{1}=0, \quad V_{m}+2 x v+2 \gamma_{0}+2 \gamma_{1}+1=0 \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (94) implies that $U_{j}, V_{j}, j=0,1, \ldots, m$, satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{U_{j}}{V_{j}}=\mathcal{R}_{I V}\binom{U_{j-1}}{V_{j-1}}+2 K_{2 j}\binom{u}{v}, \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{I V}$ is the recursion operator
$\mathcal{R}_{I V}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-D_{x}-2 u+v+D_{x}^{-1}\left(2 u_{x}-v_{x}\right) & 2 u-D_{x}^{-1} u_{x} \\ -2 D_{x}-4 u+2 v+2 D_{x}^{-1}\left(2 u_{x}-v_{x}\right) & D_{x}+2 u+v-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\end{array}\right)$.
Using (94), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
u+x, & m=1, \\
u+K_{2}, & m \neq 1,
\end{array}\right.  \tag{102}\\
& b_{3}=p\left(v+2 a_{2}-2 u\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and hence we have $U_{0}=u$ and $V_{0}=v$. Thus (100) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{U_{j}}{V_{j}}=\mathcal{R}_{I V}^{j}\binom{u}{v}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} K_{2 i} \mathcal{R}_{I V}^{j-i}\binom{u}{v}+2 K_{2 j}\binom{u}{v} . \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore equation (99) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{I V}^{m}\binom{u}{v}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} K_{2 i} \mathcal{R}_{I V}^{m-i}\binom{u}{v}+2 x\binom{u}{v}+\binom{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1}+1}=\binom{0}{0} . \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $A$ and $B$ in the linear problem (1) of the hierarchy (104) has the form (91), where

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & p \\
-\frac{u}{p} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
u & 0 \\
0 & -u
\end{array}\right), \\
& A_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad A_{1}=B_{1}, \quad A_{2 j}=a_{2 j} \sigma_{3}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m,  \tag{105}\\
& A_{2 j+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & b_{j} \\
c_{j} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, m, \quad A_{2 m+2}=\gamma_{0} \sigma_{3},
\end{align*}
$$

$p$ satisfies $p_{x}=p v, a_{2 j}, b_{2 j+1}$ and $c_{2 j+1}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2 j}=U_{j-1}+K_{2 j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m-1, \\
& a_{2 m}=U_{m-1}+x, \\
& b_{2 j+1}=p\left(V_{j-1}+2 K_{2 j}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, m-1, \\
& b_{2 m+1}=p\left(V_{m-1}+2 x\right),  \tag{106}\\
& c_{2 j+1}=\frac{1}{p}\left[D_{x} U_{j-1}-u\left(V_{j-1}+2 K_{2 j}\right)\right], \quad j=1, \ldots, m-1, \\
& c_{2 m+1}=\frac{1}{p}\left[D_{x} U_{m-1}-u\left(V_{m-1}+2 x\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

As usual, when $m=1, u$ satisfies the fourth Painlevé equation (89). Next we study the case $m=2$.

Example 1. When $m=2$, (104) gives the following system for $u$ and $v$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{x x}=\left(3 v+2 K_{2}\right) u_{x}-3 u v^{2}-4 K_{2} u v+2 u^{3}+2 K_{2} u^{2}-2 x u-\gamma_{1}  \tag{107a}\\
v_{x x}=-\left(3 v+2 K_{2}\right) v_{x}+2\left(3 v+2 K_{2}\right) u_{x}-v^{3}-6 u v^{2}-2 K_{2} v^{2}-2 x v \\
+6 u^{2} v-4 K_{2} u v+4 K_{2} u^{2}-\left(2 \gamma_{0}+2 \gamma_{1}+1\right) \tag{107b}
\end{gather*}
$$

The elimination of $v$ between (107a) and (107b) gives a fourth-order equation for $u$.
The linear system for (107a) and (107b) is given by (1) with $B=B_{0} \lambda^{2}+B_{1} \lambda+B_{2}, A=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{6} A_{j} \lambda^{5-j}$, where $B_{j}, j=0,1,2$, and $A_{j}, j=0,1$ are given by (105) and
$A_{2}=\left(u+K_{2}\right) \sigma_{3}, \quad A_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & p\left(v+2 K_{2}\right) \\ \frac{1}{p}\left(u_{x}-u v-2 K_{2} u\right) & 0\end{array}\right)$,
$A_{4}=-\left(u_{x}+u^{2}-2 u v-2 K_{2} u-x\right) \sigma_{3}, \quad A_{5}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & b_{5} \\ c_{5} & 0\end{array}\right), \quad A_{6}=\gamma_{0} \sigma_{3}$,
$b_{5}=p\left(v_{x}-2 u_{x}+2 u v-2 u^{2}+2 K_{2} v+2 x\right)$,
$c_{5}=\frac{-1}{p}\left[u_{x x}-2\left(v+K_{2}\right) u_{x}-u v_{x}-2 u^{3}+2 u^{2} v+u v^{2}+2 K_{2} u v+2 x u\right]$.
Once again we can derive a hierarchy of differential equations, a fourth Painlevé hierarchy. This hierarchy was given before [10]. Indeed the transformation $y=-u_{x}+u v-u^{2}, w=-v$ transforms the system (107a) and (107b) into the system

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{x x}= & \frac{\left[y_{x}+2 y\left(w-k_{2}\right)-\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\right]^{2}-\left(\gamma_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}{\left[2 y-w_{x}+w^{2}-2 K_{2} w+2 x\right]} \\
& -2(y w)_{x}+2 K_{2} y_{x}-y\left[2 y-w_{x}+w^{2}-2 K_{2} w+2 x\right]  \tag{109}\\
w_{x x}= & \left(3 w-2 K_{2}\right) w_{x}-2 y\left(3 w-2 K_{2}\right)-w^{3}+2 K_{2} w^{2}-2 x w+\left(2 \gamma_{0}+2 \gamma_{1}+1\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The system (109) is the second member of the fourth Painlevé hierarchy given in [6]. Therefore we have another linear problem for the fourth Pianlevé hierarchy given in [6].

### 5.1. Special solutions

In this subsection, we will show that the fourth Painlevé hierarchy (104) admits special solutions in terms of the second Painlevé hierarchy (39).

Suppose that $m=2 n, p=1,2 \gamma_{1}+2 \gamma_{0}+1=0, K_{4 j-2}=0, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then $u=-q, v=0$, and the hierarchy (99) reduces to the following hierarchy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2 n}+2 x u+\gamma_{1}=0, \quad V_{2 n}=0 \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator (101) becomes

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-D_{x}-2 u+2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x} & 2 u-D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}  \tag{111}\\
-2 D_{x}-4 u+4 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x} & D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now we will use induction to prove that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
U_{2 j}=\left(D_{x}-2 u\right)\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{2 j-2}+2 K_{4 j} u, & j=1,2, \ldots, n, \\
V_{2 j}=0, & j=1,2, \ldots, n, \\
U_{2 j+1}=-\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{2 j}, & j=1,2, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{112}\\
V_{2 j+1}=2 U_{2 j+1}, & j=1,2, \ldots, n-1 .
\end{array}
$$

First, we note that $U_{0}=u, V_{0}=0$. Thus (100) gives $U_{1}=-\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{0}$, $V_{1}=2 U_{1}, V_{2}=\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right)\left(V_{1}-2 U_{1}\right)=0$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{2} & =-\left(D_{x}-2 u\right) U_{1}+2 K_{4} u \\
& =\left(D_{x}-2 u\right)\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{0}+2 K_{4} u . \tag{113}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the formulae (112) are true when $j=1$.
Assume that (112) is true for $j=k, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$. Then substituting $V_{2 k}=0$ into (100) implies that $U_{2 k+1}=-\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{2 k}$ and $V_{2 k+1}=-2\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{2 k}=$ $2 U_{2 k+1}$. Since $V_{2 k+2}=\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right)\left(V_{2 k+1}-2 U_{2 k+1}\right)$, we get $V_{2 k+2}=0$. Using (100), $V_{2 k+1}=2 U_{2 k+1}$, and $U_{2 k+1}=-\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{2 k}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{2 k+2} & =-\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{2 k+1}+\left(2 u-D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) V_{2 k+1}+2 K_{4 k+4} u \\
& =-\left(D_{x}-2 u\right) U_{2 k+1}+2 K_{4 k+4} u \\
& =\left(D_{x}-2 u\right)\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{2 k}+2 K_{4 k+4} u . \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

This ends the proof.
Now using $\left(D_{x}-2 u\right)\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right)=D_{x}^{2}-4 u^{2}+4 u D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}=\mathcal{R}_{I I}, U_{0}=u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2 j}=\mathcal{R}_{I I} U_{2 j-2}+2 K_{4 j} u \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2 j}=\mathcal{R}_{I I}^{j} u+2 \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} K_{4 i} \mathcal{R}_{I I}^{j-i} u+2 K_{4 j} u \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus equation (110) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{I I}^{n} u+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_{4 i} \mathcal{R}_{I I}^{n-i} u+2 x u+\gamma_{1}=0 . \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hierarchy (117) is equivalent to the second Painleve hierarchy (39). Therefore, if $u$ is a solution of the $2 n$th member of the fourth Painlevé hierarchy (104) with $v=0,2 \gamma_{1}+2 \gamma_{0}+$ $1=0, K_{4 j-2}=0, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, then $u$ satisfies the $n$th member of the second Painlevé hierarchy (117).

The linear problem for the second Painlevé hierarchy (117) is given by
$B=B_{0} \lambda^{2}+B_{1} \lambda+B_{2}, \quad A=\sum_{j=0}^{4 n+2} A_{j} \lambda^{4 n+1-j}$,
$B_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -u & 0\end{array}\right), \quad B_{2}=u \sigma_{3}, \quad A_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad A_{1}=B_{1}$
$A_{2 j}=a_{2 j} \sigma_{3}, \quad j=1, \ldots, 2 n$,
$A_{2 j+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & b_{j} \\ c_{j} & 0\end{array}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, 2 n, \quad A_{4 n+2}=\gamma_{0} \sigma_{3}$,
where $a_{j}, b$ and $c_{j}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{4 j}=-\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{2 j-2}+K_{4 j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n-1, \\
& a_{4 j-2}=U_{2 j-2}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n, \\
& a_{4 n}=-\left(D_{x}+2 u-2 D_{x}^{-1} u_{x}\right) U_{2 n-2}+x, \\
& c_{4 j-1}=D_{x} U_{2 j-2}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n,  \tag{119}\\
& c_{4 j+1}=-\left[\mathcal{R}_{I I} U_{2 j-2}+2 K_{4 j} u\right], \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n-1, \\
& c_{4 n+1}=-\left[\mathcal{R}_{I I} U_{2 n-2}+2 x u\right], \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n, \\
& b_{4 j-1}=0, \quad b_{4 j+1}=2 a_{4 j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore the above relation between the fourth Painlevé hierarchy (104) and the second Painlevé hierarchy (117) gives rise to the new linear problem (118) for the second Painlevé hierarchy.

For example, the second member of the fourth Painlevé hierarchy (104), that is equations (107a) and (107b), has the special solution $K_{2}=0,2 \gamma_{0}+2 \gamma_{1}+1=0, v=0$ and $u$ satisfies the second Painlevé equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x}=2 u^{3}-2 x u+\gamma_{0}+\frac{1}{2} . \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second Painlevé equation (120) has the following new linear problem:
$B=B_{0} \lambda^{2}+B_{1} \lambda+B_{2}, \quad A=\sum_{j=0}^{6} A_{j} \lambda^{5-j}$,
$B_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -u & 0\end{array}\right), \quad B_{2}=u \sigma_{3}, \quad A_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{3}$,
$A_{1}=B_{1}, \quad A_{2}=u \sigma_{3}, \quad A_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ u_{x} & 0\end{array}\right), \quad A_{4}=-\left(u_{x}+u^{2}-x\right) \sigma_{3}$,
$A_{5}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -2\left(u_{x}+u^{2}-x\right) \\ -u_{x x}+2 u^{3}-2 x u & 0\end{array}\right), \quad A_{6}=\gamma_{0} \sigma_{3}$.

## References

[1] Jimbo M and Miwa T 1981 Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients II Physica 2D 407
[2] Flaschka H and Newell A C 1980 Monodromy and spectrum preserving deformations I Commun. math. Phys. 7665
[3] Fokas A S, Muğan U and Zhou X 1992 On the solvability of Painlevé I, III and V Inverse Problems 8757
[4] Kitaev A V 1985 Self-similar solutions of the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation Theor. Math. Phys. 64878
[5] Milne A E, Clarkson P A and Bassom A P 1997 Application of the isomonodromy deformation method to the fourth-order Painlevé equation Inverse Problems 13421
[6] Gordoa P R, Joshi N and Pickering A 2006 Second and fourth Painlevé hierarchies and Jimbo-Miwa linear problems J. Math. Phys. 47073504
[7] Joshi N, Kitaev A V and Treharne P A 2007 On the linearization of Painlevé III-VI equations and reductions of three-wave resonant system J. Math. Phys. 48103512
[8] Kudryashov N A 2002 Fourth-order analogies to the Painlevé equations J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 354617
[9] Kudryashov N A and Soukharev M B 1998 Uniformization and transcendence of solutions for the first and second Painlevé hierarchies Phys. Lett. A 237206
[10] Gordoa P R, Joshi N and Pickering A 2005 Bäcklund transformations for fourth Painlevé hierarchies J. Diff. Eqns. 217124
[11] Cosgrove C M 2000 Higher-order Painlevé equations in the polynomial Class I. Bureau symbol P2 Stud. Appl. Math. 1041
[12] Cosgrove C M 2006 Higher-order Painlevé equations in the polynomial Class II. Bureau symbol P1 Stud. Appl. Math. 116321
[13] Kudryashov N A 1997 The first and second Painlevé equations of higher order and some relations between them Phys. Lett. A 224353
[14] Cosgrove C M 2000 Chazy classes IX-XII of third-order differential equations Stud. Appl. Math. 104171
[15] Ince E L 1956 Ordinary Differential Equations (New York: Dover)

